

**Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary****Application No.**

10/538,201

**Applicant(s)**

BARSKE ET AL.

**Examiner**

SANDRA WEGERT

**Art Unit**

1646

**All Participants:**(1) SANDRA WEGERT(2) Amy DeCloux**Status of Application:** Allowable

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 17 February 2010**Time:** 1 Hour**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.****Rejection(s) discussed:***All pending. Also discussed enablement of antibodies when only 3 CDR's are specified.***Claims discussed:***All, including withdrawn claims. Applicant was reminded that withdrawn claims may be prosecuted in a divisional application.***Prior art documents discussed:****Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***Discussed claim amendments that would make the claims allowable. For example, claims based on the language of claim 21 are allowable because 6 CDR's are specified.***Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Sandra Wegert/ 2/17/10

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)